



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/685,919

10/15/2003

William E. Welnick

33692.03.3198

7060

23418 7590 05/22/2007
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ
222 N. LASALLE STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60601

EXAMINER

RAMPURIA, SHARAD K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/22/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/685,919

Applicant(s)

WELNICK ET AL.

Examiner

Sharad Rampuria

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

I. The Art Unit location of this application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

II. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/14/2007 has been entered.

Disposition of the claims

III. The current office-action is in response to the RCE filed on 03/14/2007.

Accordingly, Claims 1-21 are imminent for further assessment as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

IV. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2617

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hooper et al.** [US 5734980] in view of **La Medica, Jr. et al.** [US 6625951] *hereinafter* **Hooper** and **La Medica** respectively.

As per claim 1, **Hooper** teaches:

A circuit (inherent in view of the steps of Figure 2) operative to acquire a more-preferred stored SID element comprising: memory (column 6, lines 41 to 65) containing a roaming list that includes a plurality of stored SID elements ranked according to an order of preference (column 6, lines 52 to 54) including at least one more-preferred stored SID element and at least one less-preferred stored SID element (column 6, lines 54 to 65, the more-preferred and less-preferred SID elements are being interpreted to be any SID element that is ranked above or below respectively one another); and

Hooper doesn't teach specifically, logic circuitry, operatively coupled to the memory, and operative to perform a first more-preferred SID acquisition sequence and

Art Unit: 2617

then a second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence that includes repeatedly attempting acquisition of the at least one more-preferred stored SID element using a same frequency during the second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence. However, **La Medica** teaches in an analogous art, that logic circuitry, operatively coupled to the memory, and operative to perform a first more-preferred SID acquisition sequence and then a second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence that includes repeatedly attempting acquisition of the at least one more-preferred stored SID element using a same frequency during the second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence. (e.g. In the PRL Preferred mode, the station 3 again scans first for the SID of its home system. If the station detects the home system SID, the station 3 will always select and register with the home system 20. Next, if there is no match to the home system SID stored in the NAM, the station 3 will compare the SIDs of available systems 21 to the stored PRL list. The station will select and register with the best available system using the PRL list. In the PRL Preferred mode, if there is no matching SID after home system processing and PRL list processing, the station scans for SIDs on the same band as used by its home system **20. If a SID is detected on that band**, the station will select and register with the identified system.; Col.17; 65-Col.18; 15) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Hooper** including logic circuitry, operatively coupled to the memory, and operative to perform a first more-preferred SID acquisition sequence and then a second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence that includes repeatedly attempting acquisition of the at least one more-preferred stored SID element using a same frequency during the second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence in order to provide the mobile station scans for a broadcast

Art Unit: 2617

system identifier or "SID" that matches an identifier of a preferred system stored in memory of the station. The second mode allows the user at least one option, which involves selection of a less than preferred system, but with this mode, the mobile station will still make a first attempt to register with a system having a SID matching one stored in memory of the station. (Col.6; 26-45)

Hooper also discloses all the elements of claims 2, 7, and 11, including wherein the logic circuitry is operative to attempt acquisition of the at least one less-preferred stored SID element as part of performing the second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence. See, column 9, lines 12 to 28 and Figure 2.

Hooper also discloses all the elements/steps of claims 3, 12, and 17, including wherein the logic circuitry is operative to perform the second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence if the more-preferred stored SID element is not acquired during the first more-preferred SID acquisition sequence. See, column 10, line 65 to column 11, line 13 and Figure 2.

Hooper also discloses all the elements of claim 4, including, wherein the logic circuitry is operative to attempt acquisition by comparing received broadcast SID information with one of the plurality of stored SID elements ranked according to an order of preference including at least one more-preferred stored SID element and at least one less-preferred stored SID element. See, column 9, lines 1 to 11 and claim 1.

Hooper also discloses all the elements of claims 5 and 13, including wherein the roaming

Art Unit: 2617

list includes a (storing) first more-preferred stored SID element, (storing) a second more-preferred stored SID element, and a plurality of less preferred SID elements wherein logic circuitry is operative to perform the second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence, that includes repeatedly attempting acquisition of the first more-preferred stored SID element, repeatedly attempting acquisition of the second more-preferred stored SID element and a single acquisition attempt of each of the at least one less-preferred stored SID element. See column 9, line 46 to column 10, line 65 and Figure 2, for example, if two frequencies are searched and the second frequency is determined and stored at step 92 and there are no further frequencies to search then repeated acquisition of a first and a second more-preferred SID have been done at steps 86 and 90 and single attempted acquisitions have been attempted in step 94 for the other stored SIDs for the first frequency scanned.

Hooper also discloses all the elements of claim 9, including the logic circuitry camps on at least one less-preferred stored SID element if acquisition on the at least one less-preferred stored SID element is available (column 10, lines 47 to 64) and if acquisition on the at least one more-preferred store SID element is unavailable (Id.), and wherein the logic circuitry camps on the at least one more-preferred SID stored element if the at least one more-preferred stored SID element is acquired at any time (column 10, line 65 to column 11 line 13).

Hooper discloses all the steps of claim 15, including, receiving broadcast SID information, wherein attempting acquisition is based on comparing the received

Art Unit: 2617

broadcast SID information with one of the Plurality of stored SID elements. See, column 9, lines 1 to 11.

Hooper also discloses all the steps of claim 18, including attempting acquisition of the at least one less-preferred stored SID element as part of performing the second more-preferred SID acquisition sequence. See, column 9, lines 12 to 28.

Hooper also discloses all the elements of claim 20, including camping on the at least one more-preferred stored SID element if acquisition of the at least one more-preferred stored SID element is available (column 9, lines 1 to 11); and camping on the at least one less-preferred stored SID element if acquisition of the at least one less-preferred stored SID element is available and if acquisition of the at least one more-preferred stored SID element is unavailable (column 10, lines 47 to 64).

Hooper also discloses all the elements of claim 16. See the rejection of claims 9 and 20.

Hooper also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 21, including wherein the more-preferred stored SID element defines the home system. See, step 78. of Fig.2.

Claims 6, 8, 10, 14, 19, are the wireless device, memory containing instructions executable by one or more processing devices, method claims, corresponding to the logic

Art Unit: 2617

circuitry claim 1 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 respectively, above.

Response to Amendments & Remarks

V. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 has been fully considered but is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

VI. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC@uspto.gov.



Sharad Rampuria
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2617